

## PEER REVIEWING FORM

<b>Title of the proposal:</b>
-------------------------------

<b>Reception date:</b>
------------------------

<b>Deadline for peer review:</b>
----------------------------------

<b>Date of final notification:</b>
------------------------------------

---

### A. Research problem

#### A.1 Appeal of the research question

- very interesting
- interesting
- unlikely to appeal to a fairly wide audience, because (*please specify*):
- problematic in other respects (*please specify*):

#### A.2 Statement of research problem

- well formulated
- needs to be reformulated as follows / because:

### B. Literature overview / theoretical framework

- a clear synthesis of relevant sources
- acceptable, but attention should also be paid to the sources listed below:
- inadequate, the author needs to review appropriate sources regarding this domain / inadequate reference is made to primary texts. (*Please specify texts.*)

### C. Analytical framework and research procedures

- adequate and original
- generally adequate but the following aspects need to be addressed (*please specify*):
- flawed, particularly in terms of the following aspects (*please specify*):

**D. Findings**

- worthwhile /interesting
- worthwhile/interesting, with certain reservations (*please specify*)
- flawed for the following reasons (*please specify*)

**E. Style and Language**

- acceptable (errors indicated on manuscript)
- unacceptable (too many spelling/typing/grammar/punctuation errors)  
Please indicate any recurring language problems or areas which require particular attention:

**F. Recommendation**

- paper is recommended for publication in its present form.
- paper is recommended for publication with changes as indicated.
- paper is recommended for thorough revision - a second submission followed by further peer reviews is advised.
- paper is not recommended for publication in this volume but the author is encouraged to submit it elsewhere because....
  
- not recommended for publication because:

- see A / B / C / D / E above
- lack of originality since the writer and/or other writers have already published very similar research elsewhere
- any other reason (please specify below)

**G. Other comments:**